BAILEY * KENNEDY 8984 SPANISH RIDGE AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148-1302 702.562.8820	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN Nevada Bar No. 10125 JAROD B. PENNIMAN Nevada Bar No. 16299 BAILEY * KENNEDY 8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302 Telephone: 702.562.8820 Facsimile: 702.562.8821 JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com JPenniman@BaileyKennedy.com XAVIER M. BAILLIARD (ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE) MARISA RAUCHWAY (ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE) CHIESA SHAHINIAN & GIANTOMASI PC 105 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, New Jersey 07068 Telephone: 973.325.1500 xbailliard@csglaw.com mrauchway@csglaw.com Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff DMD Chicken, LLC; and Defendants Frederick Burgess and Jack Flechner UNITED STATES DI DISTRICT OF	NEVADA
		(ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE) CHIESA SHAHINIAN & GIANTOMASI PC	
	10	Roseland, New Jersey 07068	
	11	xbailliard@csglaw.com	
	12	, ,	
	13	DMD Chicken, LLC; and Defendants Frederick	
	14		
	15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	16	DISTRICT OF	NEVADA
		, , ,	
	18		Case No. 2:25-cv-00453-JAD-NJK
	19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET
	20	VS.	NEW MOTION BRIEFING SCHEDULE DUE TO FILING OF AMENDED
	21	DMD CHICKEN, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; FREDERICK BURGESS, an	COUNTERCLAIM [ECF 44]
	22	individual; and JACK FLECHNER, an individual,	(FIRST REQUEST)
	23	Defendants.	
	24		ECF Nos. 32, 34, 36
	25	DMD CHICKEN, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; FREDERICK BURGESS, an	
	26	individual; and JACK FLECHNER, an individual,	
	27	Counterclaim Plaintiffs,	
	28	VS.	
		Page 1 of 4	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BC LICENSING, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; BCIP, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; JRS HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; PERRY ROGERS, an individual; SHAQUILLE O'NEAL, an individual; COREY JENKINS, an individual; JOSHUA HALPERN, an individual; MATTHEW SILVERMAN, an individual; SAMUEL STANOVICH, an individual; and MATTHEW PIEKARSKI, an individual,

Counterclaim Defendants.

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET NEW MOTION BRIEFING SCHEDULE DUE TO FILING OF AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM [ECF NO. 44]

Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs DMD CHICKEN, LLC ("DMD"), FREDERIC BURGESS ("Burgess") AND JACK FLECHNER ("Flechner"), and Counterclaim Defendants BC LICENSING, LLC ("BC Licensing"); BCIP, LLC ("BCIP"); JRS HOSPITALITY, LLC ("JRS"); PERRY ROGERS ("Rogers"); SHAQUILLE O'NEAL ("O'Neal"); COREY JENKINS ("Jenkins"); JOSHUA HALPERN ("Halpern"); MATTHEW SILVERMAN ("Silverman"); and SAMUEL STANOVICH ("Stanovich") (collectively, the "Counterclaim Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On April 30, 2025, BC Licensing, BCIP, Halpern, Silverman, and Stanovich filed their Motion to Dismiss DMD's Counterclaims [ECF No. 32] (the "BC Licensing Motion").
- 2. On April 30, 2025, O'Neal and JRS filed their Motion to Dismiss DMD's Counterclaims [ECF No. 34] (the "JRS Motion") (along with the BC Licensing Motion, the "Motions").
- 3. On May 2, 2025, Jenkins and Rogers filed their Joinder to the BC Licensing Motion [ECF No. 37].
- 4. On May 5, 2025, the Court set the Motions for hearing on June 3, 2025, at 1:30 p.m. [ECF No. 38].
- 5. On March 12, 2025, the Court granted a Stipulation and Order to extend the Opposition deadline to the Motions to May 30, 2025, and to reset the hearing on the Motions to July 7, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.

- 6. On May 21, 2025, Counterclaim Plaintiffs DMD, Burgess, and Flechner filed an Amended Counterclaim against the Counterclaim Defendants, along with one additional counterclaim defendant Matthew Piekarski [ECF No. 44] (the "Amended Counterclaim"). The Amended Counterclaim was filed as a matter of course under FRCP 15(a)(1)(B).
- 7. "The filing of an amended complaint generally moots a pending motion to dismiss unless the amended complaint is substantially identical to the original complaint." Zimmerman v. PeaceHealth, 701 F.Supp.3d 1099, 1108 (W.D. Wash. 2023). Although the Parties disagree whether the Amended Counterclaim is substantially identical to DMD's original Counterclaim, and "defendants should not be required to file a new motion to dismiss simply because an amended pleading was introduced while their motion was pending," id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted), Counterclaim Defendants agree to re-file their Motions and Joinder based on the Amended Counterclaim.
- 8. Counterclaim Defendants will file their Motions to Dismiss the Amended Counterclaim on or before Monday June 9, 2025. Counterclaimants will file their Opposition on or before June 23, 2025. Counterclaim Defendants will file their Reply on or before June 30, 2025.
- 9. This briefing schedule will not impact the current hearing of the Motions on July 7, 2025.

18 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25

///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

Error! Unknown document property name.

Page **4** of **4**